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The German interlinguistics society Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik (GIL) was founded in Berlin in 1991 by twelve German interlinguists to bring together interlinguistics and esperantology scholars, mainly in Germany. GIL’s principal fields of activity are the dissemination of interlinguistic knowledge, the promotion and support of relevant research and teaching, and the support of national and international cooperation among interlinguists. GIL concentrates its activities in four fields: (a) international linguistic communication, (b) language planning, (c) esperantology (Esperanto studies), and (d) the teaching of Esperanto and interlinguistics at institutions of higher education. Since 1995 GIL has published the proceedings of its annual conferences as *Beiträge der Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik*. Eight volumes have appeared to date, on such topics as translation, terminology, sociocultural aspects of planned languages, lexicography, European language policy, and the structure of planned languages.

References to Esperanto are sometimes greeted by a wry smile conveying a “been there, done that, what’s next?” attitude. In the present writer’s experience, this reaction is as common in professional linguistic circles as among fellow diplomats, to whom the science of interlinguistics appears as little known as it is to the wider public. Readers of *LPLP* constitute a significant exception. In an area more characterized by prejudice than hard scientific knowledge, the eight volumes of GIL (Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik) proceedings represent an important linguistic contribution towards remedying this lacuna. Crucial in maintaining the lack of knowledge of planned languages is a failure to appreciate the fundamental difference between the over 1,000 planned language projects and the one such language, Esperanto, which has gone through each of the 28 stages of development outlined by Blanke\(^1\) to become a fully functional language, used intensively and increasingly by a worldwide language community.\(^2\) Even a little familiarity with
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developments in interlinguistics should help dispel what Piron (1994: 257) calls l’ignorance ignorée.

The German interlinguistics society Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik e.V. (www.interlinguistik-gil.de) was founded in Berlin by twelve German interlinguists on 6 April 1991. It elected Dr. Habil. Detlev Blanke, whose seminal role and continued guidance garners an appropriate tribute through an impressive festschrift for his 60th birthday (Fiedler & Liu 2001), as its first President. The Society’s aims are to bring together interlinguistics and esperantology scholars, mainly in Germany, and to increase their activity; to heighten awareness of, and facilitate access to, international specialist literature as it appears; to promote the interchange of expertise and the publication of members’ work; and to provide an opportunity for activity in the framework of a professional linguistic organization. GIL’s principal fields of activity are the dissemination of interlinguistic knowledge and the promotion and support of relevant research and teaching, and the support of national and international cooperation among interlinguists. It concentrates its activities in four fields: (a) international linguistic communication, (b) language planning, (c) esperantology (Esperanto studies), and (d) the teaching of Esperanto and interlinguistics at universities and institutions of higher education.

Although essentially a German organization, GIL also has members from Austria, China, Finland, Hungary, Japan, Croatia, the Netherlands, Poland and Switzerland. The Society publishes the bibliographical bulletin Interlinguistische Informationen, of which 45 issues had appeared by the end of 2002. GIL organizes a specialist conference each year, and has published the proceedings since its fifth conference (1995).

As of 1995 GIL has published the proceedings of its annual conferences as Beiträge der Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik, under the editorship of Detlev Blanke, Ulrich Becker and Fritz Wollenberg. Eight volumes, all published in Berlin, have appeared to date:


3 Fiedler & Liu 2001 contains scholarly articles by 43 authors from 19 countries. Fiedler 1999 establishes conclusively the existence of a substantial body of phraseology in Esperanto, honed by almost 120 years of usage and comparable to national languages. Schubert 2001 ranges from the role of literary language in Esperanto (Tonkin) through lexicography (Duc Goninaz), speech processing (Koutny) to Creoles and pidgins (Liu). It is not necessary to give further detail to LPLP. readers.

4 It contains 43 contributions from scholars in 19 countries, some two-thirds in Esperanto and one-third in German.

Each volume contains 8-14 academic contributions by interlinguistics specialists, and an introduction by Detlev Blanke. An exception is volume three, which has just four contributions from a colloquium in memory of Nobel Prize-winning scientist and interlinguist Wilhelm Ostwald (1853-1932), who perceptively foresaw many of the negative effects of the international dominance of an ethnic language which have developed since his death (p. 21, 28). The published proceedings consist of a total of 84 contributions. Most focus on planned languages, but by no means exclusively on Esperanto, whose linguistic and meta-linguistic features are often compared to those of competing language projects.

Arguably the most topical volume, as we approach European Union enlargement on 1 May 2004, is Sprachenpolitik in Europa, which appeared in 2001. The decision of the Seville European Council in June 2002 to consider the use of languages in an enlarged EU adds to its topicality. It contains contributions on multilingualism – curse or blessing (Mattusch), the typological richness of European languages (Lötzsch), Russian as an international language (Kostomarov), the French view on language policy (Klare), planned languages and European language policy (Blanke), an epilogue to the conference on language policy in Europe (Scharnhorst), forestry terminology (Simon and Ullrich), the translation of Goethe’s Faust into Esperanto (Fiedler), “Does Europe exist? What is European?” (Barandovská-Frank), and a useful bibliography on language policy in Europe (Blanke/Scharnhorst). Mattusch (p. 21) quotes UNFPA figures predicting that in 2050 neither French, German nor Italian will be among the 20 most spoken languages. They will be replaced by Turkish, Persian and Amharic, with Swahili possibly replacing Korean, English dropping to place three, behind Chinese and Hindi, and Russian holding its position. A further striking prediction is that the current 10% Spanish-speaking population of the US may increase to 25% by 2050. It is difficult to quarrel with Blanke’s conclusion (p. 100): “To avoid future conflicts in Europe, language policy must be formulated democratically, particularly in the EU framework.”

It is clear that the principles of effective communication and the equal rights of all EU citizens may clash. The present drift towards English as the EU lingua franca tends to reinforce inequality between the 16% of native speakers of English and everybody else. Phillipson 2003 makes a strong case against allowing this drift to continue, and (p. 184) in favor of serious consideration of a role for Esperanto in areas such as “a bridging or pivot language… for EU-internal communication,” or pilot studies on the possible contribution of short preliminary courses in Esperanto to improving language learning in general. EU enlargement is clearly dictating more overt consideration of language policy. The need for an “EU standing conference of politicians and linguists” to establish and guide this discussion is stressed by Scharnhorst (p. 110).

Volume one in the series is devoted to translation and planned languages, and contains eleven contributions mainly on translation into Esperanto, but also on Greek, and cataloguing in Esperanto libraries. An introduction by Blanke explains GIL’s raison d’être and underlines the key role played by translation in Esperanto’s evolution from a project to a living language. Also covered are machine translation, translation models, translation in structured finance, phraseology, dictionaries to promote active use of a foreign language, geographical names, culinary terms, and a general article on Esperanto.
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6 See also Phillipson 2003 and Cushing 1994.
7 See Corsetti & La Torre 2001.
in Greece. As an Irish-speaker I was struck by Back’s use (p. 58) of the Irish names of the
continents as examples: Eoraip, Aise, Afraic, Meiriceá, Astráil. This serves to illustrate
an unresolved dilemma in geographical nomenclature: the clash of the principles of
“name-bearer’s right” (to have a particular version of a name used without variation) and
“language right” (to adapt geographical names to the sound system of the country in
question). As for Esperanto, while the importance of an original literature was understood
by Esperanto speakers from the outset, in contrast to the users of other planned languages
such as Ido, the continuing importance of translation to enriching the expressiveness of a
planned language cannot be gainsaid.

Volume two concentrates on terminological problems. Its eight articles deal with
forestry terms, the borrowing of international terms versus the use of native resources in
Esperanto and German, numerals, the Internet, Esperanto’s less successful offshoots, and
other topics. Of note is Wera Blanke’s article on the contribution of interlinguists to the
international organization of terminological work in general, in which she illustrates the
important roles of Eugen Wüster and Ernest Drezen. The latter was executed during
Stalin’s purges (p. 6). Two points from Fellman’s comparison of the adoption of
“international” words by German and Esperanto are noteworthy: first, the average
German need far fewer word roots to express an equal quantity of concepts than the
average English-speaker, because of the very different histories of the two languages (p.
20); and, second, in computer terminology the intensive dialogue between Esperanto
speakers on the Internet since 1994 has often led to the replacement of an “international”
but opaque word by a more transparent and intrinsically Esperanto term (e.g. “sekurigi”
and “programaro” for earlier “savi” and “softvaro”, p. 23).

Volume four has eleven articles on the socio-cultural aspects of planned
languages, two of which, in breach of GIL practice that all formal proceedings be
conducted in German, are in Ido and Interlingua. There is a report by Barandovská-Frank
of a world congress in “living Latin”, attended by 300 participants (in contrast to 2,000-3,500
at its Esperanto equivalents), and two contributions on the German orthographic
reform (Back and Lötzsch). This is certainly one of the more interesting volumes for the
non-initiated, as it is for the most part accessible to a person who has never learned a
word of a planned language. Fiedler’s contribution, based on analysis of a survey of 528
replies to a questionnaire from 45 countries, deepens our understanding of the Esperanto
community. Bormann reflects on his own experience of societal change in recent decades
and their effects on the Esperanto community. One of Dungert’s conclusions (p. 53) is
provocative: “It is not the fault of Esperanto that it contains possibilities which do not
exist, or do not exist to the same extent, in national languages.”

Volume five contains nine contributions on lexicography, including one on
Bahasa Indonesia (Krause), and an annex presenting extracts from the various kinds of
dictionaries discussed. The conference theme was timely as it took place a few months
after the publication of Erich-Dieter Krause’s monumental Grosses Wörterbuch
Esperanto-Deutsch, containing some 80,000 head-words and copious examples of usage.
Blanke’s survey of dictionaries in planned languages is informative, and is concluded by
an eight-page bibliography of such dictionaries. The sheer range and volume of
dictionaries available in Esperanto is impressive (see Ockey 1982), and Blanke touches
on a point (p. 18) which may not be apparent to the non-specialist: it is superfluous to list
many Esperanto words, which derive regularly from a root-word, e.g. adjectives, as all
substantives can produce corresponding adjectives, as necessary, by a simple ending-change. A further salient point (p. 19) relates to the largely invented Interlingua word stock, in contrast to the Esperanto vocabulary which is drawn from actual usage. Fiedler’s contribution on Esperanto phraseology is also noteworthy.

Volume seven has nine articles on the structure of planned languages, including Ido, Latino sine flexione, Occidental, Interlingua, Loglan, and Klingon, as well as Esperanto. Anton’s and Fiedler’s articles compare the structure and development of Esperanto with that of its offshoot, Ido, the former grammatically and the latter phraseologically. Günkel writes on criticisms of the structure of Esperanto, and on attempts to address these in subsequent planned language projects. A broad tentative conclusion from this volume seems to indicate that the relative success of Esperanto, when measured against all rivals, has little to do with linguistic structure. Neither any of the “improvements” on Esperanto, nor the naturalistic Interlingua and similar projects, led to more widespread use or recognition. On the contrary, the stability of Esperanto over more than a century, and its internal coherence in actual usage throughout the world, probably helped it outdistance all rivals. In any case, a dispassionate examination such as this volume contains, with contributions from advocates of Ido and Interlingua, is very useful.

Volume eight deals with planned languages and their communities in fourteen articles. Their subjects include the *Academia pro Interlingua* (Barandovská-Frank), Ido (Anton), Occidental/Interlingue (Back), Interlingua (Wilshusen), “living Latin” (Fritsch), “Comics – the Esperanto of the Illiterate” (Fiedler), Klingon (Mannewitz), a sociological survey of the speakers of Esperanto (Stocker), the Swiss planned languages encyclopedia project and the Swiss contribution to planned languages movements (Künzli), forestry terms (Simon/Weckwerth/Weidner), the gender neutrality of the Esperanto pronoun system (Fischer), the metaphorical use of the term “Esperanto” (Blanke), and language planning in Ireland (Ó Riain). This last article presents similarities between the problems faced by planned languages and by a national language which is not widely used. The general thrust of this volume underlines a fact which may surprise the non-specialist: that planned languages do have communities, albeit only Esperanto has a community of sufficient range and depth to make it of interest to sociologists as well as linguists, as illustrated by Stocker’s fascinating survey.

The proceedings of GIL constitute a veritable treasure trove for all who are interested in international communication, and GIL itself plays an important role in the scholarly community and beyond. Indeed the overall organization and activity of GIL could with profit be copied by those interested in interlinguistics in other countries.
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Resumo

*La germana interlingvistikaji societaj Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik*

La germana interlingvistika societo Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik (GIL) estis fondita en 1991 de dekdu germanaj interlingvistoj cele al interkontaktado de fakuloj pri interlingvistiko kaj esperantologio, ĉefe en Germanio. La ĉefaj kampoj de aktivado de GIL estas disvastigo de interlingvistikaj scio, antaŭenigo de koncernej esploroj kaj instruado, kaj apogo de nacia kaj internacia kunlaboro inter interlingvistoj. Emfaziĝas en la agado de GIL kvar kampoj: (a) internacia lingvistika komunikado, (b) lingvoplanado, (c) esperantologio, kaj (ĉ) la instruado de Esperanto kaj interlingvistikoj en universitatinivelaj institucioj. Ek de 1995 GIL aperigas la aktiĝon de siaj jaraj konferenco kiel *Beiträge der Gesellschaft für Interlinguistik*. Ok volumoj aperis ĝis nun, pri temoj kiel ekzemple tradukado, terminologio, socikulturaj aspektoj de planlingvoj, leksikografio, eŭropa lingvopolitiko, kaj la strukturo de planlingvoj.
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